

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

<u> 4</u>/C

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/018,992	02/19/2003	Raffael Lahav	D01/200 2589		
7590 01/11/2005			EXAMINER		
D Graeser			OH, SIMON J		
The Polkinghor 9003 Florin Wa		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Upper Marlboro, MD 20772			1615		
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commence			92	LAHAV ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examine		Art Unit	
		Simon J.		1615	
<i>The</i> Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communication	ation appears on the	e c ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress
A SHORTE THE MAILI - Extensions o after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to rep Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of MONTHS from the mailing date of this communitor reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of for reply is specified above, the maximum statution of the set or extended period for reply will be used by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evication. days, a reply within the stat ory period will apply and w I, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>24 August 2003</u>	<u>]</u> .		•
2a)⊠ This	action is FINAL. 2b	)∐ This action is r	on-final.		
•	e this application is in condition fo ed in accordance with the practice	· /	•		e merits is
Disposition of	Claims				
4a) O 5) ☐ Clain 6) ☑ Clain 7) ☐ Clain	n(s) <u>40-90</u> is/are pending in the apof the above claim(s) is/are n(s) is/are allowed. n(s) <u>40-90</u> is/are rejected. n(s) is/are objected to. n(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from co			·
Application Pa	apers				
9)∐ The s	pecification is objected to by the I	Examiner.			
10) <u></u> The d	lrawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) accepted or b)	☐ objected to by the I	Examiner.	•
Appli	cant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) I	oe held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	acement drawing sheet(s) including the eath or declaration is objected to be	-			
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	owledgment is made of a claim fo b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority do Certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of application from the International	ocuments have been been been been the priority document Bureau (PCT Rules)	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage .
					.•
Attachment(s)			<b></b>	(DTO 410)	
	eferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	D-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
3) Information	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT //Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Papers Received

Receipt is acknowledged of the applicant's amendment, response, petition for extension of time and affidavit under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131, all received on 24 August 2003.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The rejection of Claims 1 and 7 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite is rendered most with the cancellation of those claims.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The rejection of Claims 1-3, 5, 7-10, 14, 15, 17-19, 21-25, 29, 30, 32, 33, 36, and 37 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) is rendered moot with the cancellation of those claims.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Art Unit: 1615

Claims 40-90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dietrich et al. in view of Lundberg et al.

The Dietrich et al. patent discloses benzimidazole derivative compositions comprising a core and neutralized enteric coatings that are in direct contact with the core (See Page 7, Lines 1-10). Specific benzimidazole derivatives that are deemed suitable for the disclosed compositions include omeprazole, pantoprazole, lansoprazole, and salts thereof (See Page 7, Lines 12-15; and Example 3). One or more film formers may be applied to the core, where the film formers are neutralized enteric coatings (See Page 7, Lines 6-7 and Claim 1). Various materials may be used for the enteric coating, including cellulose acetate phthalate, cellulose acetate trimellate, and hydroxy propyl methyl cellulose succinate. Additives such as softeners may be added to these coatings (See Page 9, Lines 9-21). The enteric coating is preferably applied as an aqueous dispersion and treated with a base (See Page 10, Lines 13-20). Suitable bases that may be used to neutralize the enteric coating include sodium hydroxide and ammonium hydroxide (See Page 10, Line 21 to Page 11, Line 2). The resulting pH of the neutralized coating will usually range from 4 to 8, although high values are not considered to be disadvantageous (See Page 11, Lines 4-10; and Page 13, Line 10). The examples provided in the reference show instances where the cores may be forms by wet granulation or by coating the active ingredient over a neutral core, with the enteric coating applied directly over the core. Hydroxypropyl methylcellulose phthalate is not used in any of the examples provided (See Examples 1-4, and Examples 5 in particular).

Claims 58-60, 76-78 contain limitations reciting a percentage value of the degree of neutralization of an enteric coating material. It is the position of the examiner that such properties are inherent in an enteric coating material of the prior art when the pH of an enteric

Application/Control Number: 10/018,992

Art Unit: 1615

coating is adjusted to a particular value using known methods. Therefore, these claims are considered to be obvious in view of the prior art.

The Dietrich *et al.* reference does not teach the use of citric acid esters or phthalic acid esters as plasticizers.

The Lundberg *et al.* patent teaches enteric-coated compositions of benzimidazole derivatives (See Abstract; and Claim 1). Polymers suitable for use as enteric coatings in the disclosed compositions include cellulose acetate phthalate, cellulose acetate trimellate, and hydroxy propyl methyl cellulose succinate. Suitable plasticizers for use with these materials include citric acid esters and phthalic acid esters (See Column 7, Lines 27-53).

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings Dietrich et al. and Lundberg et al. into the objects of the instant application. The prior art references both disclose enteric-coated compositions of benzimidazole derivatives, and are therefore pertinent for application against the instant claims. Although the Lundberg et al. patent contains undesirable elements that the instant application seeks to overcome, it is the position of the examiner that certain portions of the prior art can still be used without introducing the drawbacks that the instant application seeks to avoid. Lundberg et al. is relied upon for the disclosure regarding suitable plasticizers for use with the disclosed enteric coatings, many of which are also cited in Dietrich et al. as being suitable for use as neutralized enteric coatings. It is the position of the examiner that one of ordinary skill in the art can use the plasticizers disclosed in Lundberg et al. in the neutralized coatings of Dietrich et al. with a reasonable expectation of success in forming stable benzimidazole derivative compositions. The examiner does not see claim

Art Unit: 1615

limitations drawn to the inclusion of a poloxamer in the core as being a patentably critical feature of the instantly claimed invention. Thus, the instantly claimed invention is *prima facie* obvious.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 24 August 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The examiner has considered the applicant's affidavit under Rule 131, but it is not considered to be persuasive. The applicant's response made reference to the alleged unsuitability of the Dietrich *et al.* reference as prior art. However, this is not mentioned anywhere in the applicant's affidavit. No original drawings or records, or photocopies thereof have been submitted with this declaration, as required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131, and no satisfactory explanation for their omission has been given. No evidence with a verifiable date has been given. As such, the applicant's declaration is not found to be persuasive with respect to establishing reduction to practice.

The examiner does not agree with the applicant's interpretation of the prior art. The embodiments disclosed within the prior art are not solely restricted to using multiple coatings. An embodiment exists where one coating of a film former, being a neutralized enteric coating, is placed over the tablet core. Such a film former *can* be used, and therefore, is not necessarily required for use, as a base coating for other enteric coatings. Although this is not a preferred embodiment of the prior art, this embodiment is considered by the examiner to be fairly taught by the prior art. See MPEP § 2123.

Art Unit: 1615

Furthermore, the majority of the independent claims are drawn to compositions that comprise a substrate and an enteric coating layer. The open language of the instant allows for the inclusion of other components, including intermediate layers. Although the need for such layers would be obviated, that does not actively exclude them from the instantly claimed compositions.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,992

Art Unit: 1615

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Simon J. Oh whose telephone number is (571) 272-0599. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Simon J. Oh Examiner

Art Unit 1615

sjo

THURMAN K. PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENTA EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Page 7